

Indian Journal of Hill Farming



December 2017, Volume 30, Issue 2, Page 233-237

Bio-efficacy of Botanicals and Synthetic Pesticides against Pod Boring Weevil (*Apion clavipes*) and Pod fly (*Melanagromyza obtusa*) in Pigeon pea

Sandip Patra* . D. M. Firake . N.S. Azad Thakur

Division of Crop Protection, ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Hill Region, Umiam-793103, Meghalaya

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 9Septembert 2016 Revision Received 20 February 2017 Accepted 8 May 2017

Key words: Apion clavipes, botanicals, efficacy, Melanagromyza obtusa, Pigeon pea, synthetic insecticides A field experiment was conducted at Entomology Farm, ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Hill Region, Umiam, Meghalaya to evaluate some botanicals and new generation pesticides against pod borer complex in pigeon pea. Pigeon pea variety 'Bahar' was sown in 4m X 3m plot size at 60cm (R-R) X 30cm (P-P) spacing with three replications. Infestation of *A. clavipes* and *M. obtusa* was significantly reduced in plots treated with botanicals and chemical pesticides compared to control. Infestation of *A. clavipes* and *M. obtusa* was significantly reduced in fipronil 5SC treated plots compared to other treatments. Among botanicals, karanjin 2EC was found to be the most effective treatment against *A. clavipes*; while pod fly damage was found minimum in neem oil treated plots. Fipronil 5SC was found to be the best insecticides with lowest grain damage and higher benefit cost ratio. Among botanicals; maximum benefit cost ratio was recorded in neem oil treatment.

1. Introduction

Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) is one of the most important pulse crops in the South Asia. As a multiple purpose, it provides many benefits to resourcespoor families: protein-rich grain, fuel, fodder, fencing material, improved soil fertility and control of soil erosion (Siambi et al., 1992). India is the world's largest producer and consumer of pulses including pigeon pea. About 90% of the global pigeon pea area (4.9 M.ha.) is in India contributing to 93% of the global production (Anonymous, 2011). The crop is attacked by several insect pests from seedling to maturity stage, sometime causing massive damage to the crop. Among these, pod borer complex are more destructive and cause considerable yield losses. Pigeon pea pod fly Melanagromyza obtusa Malloch (Diptera: Agromyzidae) is a noxious pest of pigeon pea throughout the country. Crop losses due to pod fly has been estimated from 10-95% in different parts of India (Gangrade, 1963; Bindra and

Jakhmola, 1967; Srivastava, et al., 1971 and Kooner, et al., 1972, Adgokar et al., 1993). Pod boring weevil, Apion clavipes Gerst. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is another serious pest of pigeon pea in north eastern hilly region of India (Sachan and Gangwar, 1984). Pod boring weevil is abundantly found in North eastern states and it was also recorded from West Bengal and Bihar (Akhauri et al., 1996 and Bandyopadhyay et al., 2009). The grub enters into the seeds and start feeding on developing grain, causing 77.8% damage to pod and 43.1% damage to grain (Azad Thakur et al., 1995). Adults also cause damage by feeding on leaves, young shoot and flower buds leading to poor pod set (Azad Thakur et al., 2009). Though many insecticides have been evaluated and proved effective against these pests but frequent use of broad spectrum insecticides are causing much harm to the non-target organisms besides increasing residue problem. Therefore, an experiment was conducted with some botanicals and new generation insecticides to evaluate the efficacy against pod borer complex in pigeon pea.

^{*}Corresponding author: sandippatra47@gmail.com

2. Materials and Methods

A field trial was conducted at Entomology Farm, ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Hill Region, Umiam, Meghalaya during 2011 to evaluate the efficacy of botanical and synthetic insecticides against pod boring weevil and pod fly in pigeon pea. Pigeon pea variety 'Bahar' was sown in 4m X 3m plot size at 60cm(R-R) X 30cm (P-P) spacing during kharif season. Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Neem oil 0.03EC (0.3%), Karanjin (derisom 2EC) @ 0.004% (derived from Pongamia pinnata/ P. glabra), annonin (anosom 1EC) @0.002% (derived from Annona squamosa), thiamethoxam 25 WG @0.0082%, fipronil 5SC @0.0075%, spinosad 45SC @0.0338%, indoxacarb 14.5 SC @0.0145%, cypermethrin 10EC @ 0.01% were applied at pod formation stage and repeated after fifteen days of first spraying using spray fluid @500litre/ha. Observations on pod boring weevil damage were recorded at 14 days after each spraying by randomly picking hundred pods from each replication. Each pod was opened and infested pods were counted on the basis of presence of grub of weevil within the pods. In case of pod fly, observation was recorded only 30 days of first spraving from hundred pods collected randomly from each replication on the basis of presence of maggots inside the pods. Grain damage due to both pests was recorded from the hundred pods collected randomly at maturity of the crop. Yield data was kept separately during threshing for each treatment. Benefit-cost ratio was calculated on the basis of present market price. Data was subjected to analysis of variance after necessary transformation.

3. Results and Discussion

Weevil infestation in different treatments is shown in Table 1. Infestation of A. clavipes and M. obtusa was significantly reduced in plots treated with botanicals and chemical pesticides compared to control. Mean pod damage due to A. clavipes was significantly reduced in fipronil 5SC treated plots (10.47% damage) compared to control (31.25% damage) followed by cypermethrin 10EC (11.38% damage) and thiamethoxam 25WG (12.35% damage). Among botanicals, karanjin 2EC (Derisom) was found to be the most effective treatment against A. clavipes (15% damage). Pod damage caused by pod fly is depicted in Table 1. Minimum pod damage was found in fipronil 5SC treated plots (17.99% damage) at par with thiamethoxam 25WG (19.55% damage) and spinosad 45SC (22.69% damage). Among botanicals, pod fly infestation was considerably reduced in neem oil treated plots (28.41% damage).

Fipronil 5SC was found to be the best insecticides with lowest grain damage (14.06%) at par with thiamethoxam 25WG (15.96%) and spinosad 45SC (18.07%) (Table 1). Next best treatment was cypermethrin 10EC (20.71%) which was at par with indoxacarb 14.5SC (22.14%). All botanicals viz. anonin 1EC, neem oil 0.03 EC and karanjin 2EC treated plots recorded lesser grain damage compared to control. Economics of different treatments is shown in table 2. Highest yield was found in fipronil 5SC treated plots (650kg/ha) followed by thiamethoxam 25 WG, spinosad 45SC, cypermethrin 10EC, annonin 1EC, indoxacarb 14.5SC, neem oil 0.03EC and karanjin 2EC. Higher benefitcost ratio was found in thiamethoxam 25WG (7.07) followed by cypermethrin 10EC (7.05) and fipronil 5SC (6.68) treated plots. Among the botanicals, neem oil 0.03EC was with high benefit cost ratio as compared to other botanicals. In the present study, all the insecticides were found effective against pod boring weevil and pod fly damage. Broad spectrum activity of fipronil is known against many agriculturally important pests (Walid et al., 1997; Grosman and Uptan, 2006; Mahal et al., 2008; Juang, 2009; Reddy and Sreehari, 2009; Patil et al., 2009 and Kavallieratos et al., 2010). Neo-nicotinoid insecticides such as imidacloprid and thimethoxam has been recommended for the management of pod fly (Sharma et al. 2010; Biradar and Navi, 2006). Cypermethrin was reported to be effective against pod borers of pigeon pea (Baruah and Ramesh Chauhan, 2002 and Sontakke and Mishra, 1991). Botanical pesticides were not as effective as other synthetic insecticides however efficacy were found to be significantly superior over untreated control. The present findings are falling in the line of Baviskar et al. (2002) who evaluated some plant extracts including neem and karanjin in comparison to chemical insecticide against pod borers of pigeon pea cv. C-11 and reported that the plant-based products were inferior to chemical insecticide, although these treatments performed better than the untreated control. supported by the findings This was also of Akhauri and Yadav (1999). Efficacy of karanjin, Pongamia pinnata (Verma et al., 2011; Vishal et al., 2006) and Annona squamosa (Chitra et al., 1993 and Sonkamble et al., 2000) against different insect pests have also been reported earlier. Present study concludes that, fipronil is an effective pesticide against pod borer complex of pigeon pea and among botanicals neem oil can be used for the effective management for borers in pigeon pea

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the Director, ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Hill Region, Umiam, Meghalaya for providing the facilities required to conduct this experiment.

Treatments	Concentration	Pod damage (%)) by pod boring v	veevil	Pod damage (%)	Overall grain	Protection of grain
	(%)	First	Second	Mean	by pod fly	damage (%)	damage over control
		Picking	Picking				(%)
Neem oil 0.03EC	0.3	20.67 (27.04)	22.83 (28.54)	21.75	28.41 (32.21)	30.05 (33.24)	45.59
Karnjin 2EC	0.004	19.00 (25.84)	11.00 (19.37)	15.00	32.26 (34.61)	31.19 (33.95)	43.52
Annonin 1EC	0.002	18.50 (25.48)	21.83 (27.85)	20.17	29.72 (33.04)	28.46 (32.24)	48.47
Thiamethoxam 25 WG	0.0082	11.00 (19.37)	13.70 (21.72)	12.35	19.55 (26.24)	15.96 (23.55)	71.10
Fipronil 5SC	0.0075	11.28 (19.62)	9.66 (18.11)	10.47	17.99 (25.10)	14.06 (22.02)	74.54
Spinosad 45SC	0.0338	14.17 (22.11)	17.83 (24.98)	16.00	22.69 (28.45)	18.07 (25.16)	67.28
Indoxacarb14.5SC	0.0145	16.00 (23.58)	12.50 (20.70)	14.25	25.80 (30.53)	22.14 (28.07)	59.91
Cypermethrin 10EC	0.01	10.67 (19.07)	12.10 (20.36)	11.38	25.87 (30.57)	20.71 (27.07)	62.50
Control	water	27.83 (31.84)	34.67 (36.07)	31.25	44.94 (42.10)	55.23 (48.00)	-
SEm	-	1.48	1.36	-	1.91	1.85	-
CD (P=0.05%)	-	3.14	2.88	-	4.07	3.93	-

Table 1. Bio-efficacy of botanicals and synthetic insecticides against pod boring weevil and pod fly in pigeon pea

Data parentheses are angular transformed values

Table 2. Economics of different insecticides against pod boring weevil and pod fly in pigeon pea

Treatments	Cost of	Total quantity of	Cost for	Labour cost for	Total cost for 2	Yield	Net gain	Profit over	Benefit-
	insecticides	insecticides	insecticides	spraying	spraying	(kg/ha)	over	control	cost
	(Rs/L or	required (L or	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)		control	(Rs/ha)	ratio
	Kg)	Kg/ha)					(kg/ha)		(B:C)
Neem oil 0.03%EC	252	1.5	378.00	600.00	1956	411	107	6420	3.28
Karanjin 2%EC	1200	1.0	1200.00	600.00	3600	380	076	4560	1.27
Annonin 1%EC	1500	1.0	1500.00	600.00	4200	429	125	7500	1.78
Thiamethoxam 25 WG	5000	0.17	850.00	600.00	2900	646	342	20520	7.07
Fipronil 5SC	1270	0.75	952.50	600.00	3105	650	346	20760	6.68
Spinosad 45SC	12760	0.375	4785.00	600.00	10770	643	339	20340	1.89
Indoxacarb14.5SC	4300	0.5	2150.00	600.00	5500	429	125	7500	1.36
Cypermethrin10EC	500	0.5	250.00	600.00	1700	504	200	12000	7.05
Control	-	-	-	-	-	304	-	-	-

References

- Adgokar RT, Satpute US, Temprde AM, AP Mahokar (1993). Extent of avoidable incidence and losses due to pod borer complex in promising of cultivars of pigeonpea *Cajanus Cajan* (L.) MiUsp. Pestology 17: 10-12
- Akhauri RK, Sinha MM, RP. Yadav (1996). Influence of meteorological factors on population build-up of pod weevil, Apion clavipes Gerst on main season pigeon pea under condition of north Bihar (India). J Entomol Res 20(1): 31-36
- Akhauri RK, Yadav RP (1999). Evaluation of some Phytoextracts against Pod Borer Complex on *pre-Rabi* season Pigeonpea in North Bihar. *Pestic Res J* 11(1): 26-31
- Anonymous (2011). NICRA team of Pigeonpea Pest Surveillance. *Manual for Pigeonpea Pest Surveillance*. Jointly published by National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture and Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur. Pp-29
- Azad Thakur NS, Kalaisekar A, Ngachan SV, Saikia K, Rahaman Z, Sarma S (2009). Insect pest of crops in North East India, Pp. 46-47
- Azad Thakur NS, Pathak KA, Sing YP, Shylesha AN (1995). Major insects pests of crops in North Eastern Hill Region and their management. *Technical Bulletin* No.9, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya, Pp-23
- Bandyopadhyay B, Paul SK, Jha S,Ghosh MR (2009). Pod weevil *Apion clavipes* Gerst. (Apionidae: Coleoptera) infestation on pigeonpea in West Bengal. *Environment and Ecology* 27(3A): 1262-1264.
- Baruah AALH, Ramesh Chauhan (2002). Field efficacy of some synthetic pyrethriods against tur podfly, *Melanagromyza obtusa* (Malloch) attacking pigeon pea. *Legume Research* 25(1): 27-31
- Baviskar MB, Raji N, Gourkhede YN, Patil SD, Chaudhari PM (2002). Efficacy of botanical extracts against pod borer complex in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Mill. sp.). *J Soils Crops* 12(2): 206-209
- Bindra OS, Jokhmola SS (1967). Incidence and losses caused by some pod infesting insects in different varieties of pigeon pea *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. *Indian J Agric Sci* 37: 177-186

- Biradar AP, SS. Navi (2006). Management of Pigeonpea Podfly. Karnataka J Agric Sci 19(3): 716-718
- Chitra, KC, Rao SJ, Rao PK, K. Nagaiah (1993). Field evaluation of certain plant products in the control of brinjal pest complex. *Indian J Entomol* 55(3): 237-240
- Gangrade GA (1963). Assessment of damage to Tur Cajanus cajan in Madhya Pradesh by the tur pod fly, *Agromyza obtusa* Malloch. *Indian J Agric Sci* 33: 17-20
- Grosman M Donald, Upton W William (2006). Efficacy of Systemic Insecticides for Protection of Loblolly Pine Against Southern Pine Engraver Beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) and Wood Borers (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). J Econ Entomol 99(1): 94-101
- Juang HC (2009). Comparative Efficacy of Neonicotinoids and Selected Insecticides in Suppressing Tunneling Activity of Mole Crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae) in Turfgrass. J Agric Urban Entomol 26(3): 135-146
- Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Vayias BJ, PC. Betsi (2010). Insecticidal efficacy of fipronil against four stored-product insect pests: influence of commodity, dose, exposure interval, relative humidity and temperature. *Pest Management Science* 66(6): 640-649
- Kooner BS, Singh H, KB. Singh (1972). Relative susceptibility of germplasm of pigeon pea against tur podfly, *Melanagromyza obtusa* M. under field condition. *Plant Protection Bulletin* 24(1-2): 7-8
- Mahal MS, Sarao PS, ML. Singla (2008). Bioefficacy of Fipronil 800 WG for the Control of Stem Borer and Leaf Folder in Basmati Rice. *Indian J Plant Prot* 36(2): 260-262
- Narasimhamurthy GM, Keval R (2012). Field evaluation of certain insecticides on podfly (*Melanagromyza obtusa* Malloch) in long duration pigeonpea. J Food Legumes 25(3): 250-251
- Patil SB, Udikeri SS, Matti PV, Guruprasad GS, Hirekurubar RB, Shaila HM, NB. Vandal (2009).
 Bioefficacy of new molecule fipronil 5% SC against sucking pest complex in Bt cotton. *Karnataka J Agric Sci* 22(5): 1029-1031
- Reddy AV, G. Sreehari (2009). Studies on efficacy of firpronil 80 WG a new fourmulation and other chemicals against chilli thrips. *Int J Agric Sci* 5(1): 140-141

- Sachan JN, SK. Gangwar (1984). Pod boring weevil, Apion clavipes. A serious pest of pigeon pea in Meghalaya. Bulletin of Entomology 25(2): 186-189
- Sharma OP, Gopali JB, Yelshetty S, Bambawale OM, Garg DK, BB. Bhosle (2010). Pests of Pigeonpea and their Management, NCIPM, LBS Building, IARI Campus, New Delhi-110012, India, Pp-74
- Siambi M, Omanga PGA, W. Songa (1992). Status and needs of the National Pigeonpea Research Program in Kenya. *Int. Pigeonpea Newsletter* 16, p. 31–36
- Sonkamble MM, Dhanorkar BK, Munde AT, AM Sonkamble (2000). Efficacy of indigenous plant extracts against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and *Spodoptera litura* (Fabr.). J Soils Crop 10(2): 236-239
- Sontakke BK, PR. Mishra (1991). Comparative efficacy and economics of synthetic pyrethroids in the management of pod borer complex of pigeonpea. *Indian J Plant Prot* 19(2): 167-170
- Srinivasan T, C. Durairaj (2007). Newer Insecticides Against Pod Borer Complex of Pigeonpea with Special Reference to *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Melanagromyza obtuse*. *Indian J Plant Prot* 35(1): 47-49
- Srivastava AS, Katiyar SSL, KM. Srivastava (1971). Damage of Agromyza obtuse Malloch. (Diptera: Agromyzidae) to Cajanus cajan Linn. Crop, Laldev. J Sci Techno 9: 71-73
- Verma M, Subhalaxmi P, Satyawati S, Naik SN, P. Rajendra (2011). Efficacy of karanjin and phorbol ester fraction against termites (Odontotermes obesus). Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 65(6): 877-882
- Vishal K, Chandrashekar K, OP. Sidhu (2006). Efficacy of karanjin and different extracts of *Pongamia pinnata* against selected insect pests. *J Entomol Res* 30(2): 103-108
- Walid K, Byron L Reid, Gary W. Bennett (1997). Toxicity of fipronil to German and American cockroaches. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 84: 229-237