STUDIES ON GENETIC VARIABILITY IN CABBAGE (Brassica oleracea)

R. K. Singh* and R.V. Singh**

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology Pantnagar Hill Campus, Ranichauri 249 199 Tehari Garhwal, Uttaranchal.

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. capitata L) is manly cultivated for its heads annually and for seeds productions biennial in mid to high hills of Uttaranchal. It is good source of vitamins A and C Munger (1988). Keeping in view for seed production and pressing demand for a suitable varieties for seeds production, which can be achieved effectively by adopting proper breeding technique for which recognition of genotypes and quantitative assessment of the population for yield and its contributing characters is prerequisite. The nature of genetic variation for most of the yield attributes is considered in the crop and there is a need for the breeders to restructure his materials for increasing its productivity Bhagchandani et al. (1977) and Pearson (1934). Keeping in theses view, the present investigation aimed to assess to estimate genetic variability, genetic advance so as to help in developing superior genotypes.

The studies was carried out during summer season of 1998, at the experimental form of Deportment of Vegetable science G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Hill Campus Ranichauri, Tehri Garhwal, Uttaranchal. The climate of this site is humid temperate. 21 divers genotypes of cabbage were sown in randomized block design with three replications. Suitable agronomic practices were adapted during crop period. The observations were recorded on four randomly selected plants on each plot on total number of branches per plant, plant height (cm), number of flowers per branches, length of inflorescence (cm), number of pods per branches, pod length (cm), peduncle length (cm) and number of seeds per pods. The analysis of variance was carried out as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1976). The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variability were calculated as per method suggested by Burton and Devane (1953). Heritability in broad sense suggested by Allard (1960) and expected genetic advance as percent of mean were worked out by the method given by Johnson et al (1955). The correlations were worked out as per Aljibouri *et al.* (1958).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The analyses of variance for quantitative characters revealed that mean square were highly significant for all the characters indicating enough variability for all the characters (Table-1). Genotypic coefficient of variations showed that there is an ample scope for the improvement of this crop. The maximum variation was showed by number of pods per branch, followed by number of flowers per branch, total number of branches per plant and number of seeds per pod. Low variance was observed for plant height, length of inflorescence, pod length and peduncle length. The results for genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variability are presented in table-2. The data indicated that phenotypic coefficients of variability were higher than the genotypic coefficient of variability for all the characters. High coefficient of variability recorded for two characters viz number of seeds per pod (25.21 and 32.13%) and number of pods per branches (19.51 and 29.00%). Moderate variability was recorded for number of flower per branch (18.21 and 27.02) and total number of branches per plant

^{*} Present address NBPGR, Regional station Bhowali Nainital, Uttaranchal 263132.

^{**} Head Department of Vegetable Science, Hill campus GBPU&T Pantnagar Ranichauri, Tehri, Uttaranchal.

(13.16 and 26.13%). Low variability was recorded for plant height, length of inflorescence, pod length and peduncle length. Most of the characters under study got moderate Heritability. The highest Heritability was recorded for number of seeds per pod (61.56%) and number of pods per branches (45.42%). The characters pod length, total number of branches per plant. Plant height and length of inflorescence had low variability.

High genetic advance (as percent of mean) was recorded for number of seeds per pod, number of pods per branches and number of flowers per branches, while moderate to low genetic advance of 15.80, 13.48, 10.63, and 5.72 were recorded for pod length, total number of branches per plant, peduncle length, length of inflorescence and plant height respectively. The magnitudes of Heritability were quite high for number of seeds per pod, number of flowers per branches and number of pods per branch. High values of Heritability and genetic advance were found in number of seeds per pods and number of pods per branches, it is suggested that the major role of genetic constitutions in the expressions of the characters and such traits were considered to be dependable from breeding point of view. However for a breeding programme aimed at improving particular varieties through selection. The heritable can be estimated with greater degree of accuracy when heritability is studied along with genetic advance. A high heritability coupled with high genetic advance gives most effective criteria for selection (Johnson et al. 1955). Heritability along with genetic advance would be helpful in assessing the nature of gene action.

The moderate heritability along with low genetic advance was noticed for pod length, total number of branches per plant, indicating theses characters to be controlled by non-additive genes and improvement through selection for these characters would be rather ineffective. The variability, GCV, heritability and expected genetic advance as percent of mean were observed for number of seeds per pod, number of pods per branches, number of flowers per branches, and pod length. Hence these traits could be used as selection criteria for development of more seeds producing plant type in cabbage.

In correlation studies the number of seeds per pods positively and significantly correlated with number of branches per plant, pod length and negatively correlated with number of flowers per branches and number of pods per branches. The number of pods per branches positively and significantly correlated with total number of branches per plant and number of flowers per branches.

Thus from the present investigation it may be concluded that the characters viz-number of seeds per pod, number of flowers per branches, number of pods per branches and total number of branches per plant will be effective to bring rapid improvement in increased the seed yield.

REFERENCES

AlJibouri, H. A; Millar, P. A. and Robinson, H.F. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variance and co-variance in an upland cotton cross of inter specific origin. *Agron. J.*, **50**: 633-637.

Allard, R.W. (1960). Principles of Plant Breeding. Jhon Wiley and Sons Inc. New York, 485 p.

Bhagchandani, P.M; Verma, T. S. and Singh, N. (1977). Study on selection of cabbage cultivars for summer cultivation in hills. *Indian J. Hort.* **34:** 60-63.

Burton, G.W. and Devane, E.H. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clone material. *Agron. J. 4*: 478-481.

Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1976). Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and

Sons, Inc. New York.

Johnson, H.W.; Robinson, H.F. and Comostock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean *Agron. J.* 47: 314-318.

Munger, H.M. (1988). Adopation and breeding of vegetable crops for improved human nutrition. In: Qubedeaux, B. and Pedrick, A.B. *Horticulture and Human Health. Eds.* 177p.

Pearson, O. H. (1934). Dominance of certain quality characters in cabbage. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 31: 169-76.

Table-1 Mean Performance difference genotypes of cabbage

11.0-28.66	1.40-2.80	6.50-11.23	20.66-61.86	23.00-49.33	30.33-85.66	100-145.66	16.00-33.33	Range
						\$. \$4 \$4	Di Res U. J.	Seeds
26.00	1.60	8.13	47.66	30.66	71.33	115.00	25.00	Express Durga
20.66	1.76	8.00	20.00	32.00	27.66	138.66	27.00	Express Suttons
99.71	1.66	00.6	41.00	37.00	20.00	110.00	22.00	Pride of Asia
28.66	1.70	7.50	40.33-	40.00	54.00	133.60	56.66	Express
27.00	1.50	7.30	30.00	41.33	52.66	118.00	33.33	PI (Pucha)
21.00	1.93	7.16	29.00	44.00	48.00	136.66	23.66	Ganesh
22.00	1.66	9.16	41.66	33.66	49.00	122.33	22.00	Verma Pride
25.00	2.36	8.83	33.66	40.00	49.00	123.33	20.33	Express Mail
20.00	1.40	9.16.	40.46	38.00	49.00	110.66	30.33	AC-208.
20.00	1.60	8.16	51.33	32.00	72.00	113.33	29.00	AC-204
29.00	1.96	11.16	57.00	44.00	54.33	124.33	25.00	G2
11.00	1.66	7.00	37.00	23.00	42.00	121.33	18.66	G1
15.00	2.00	8.50	49.00	34.66	27.66	126.66	24.00	Express Pucha
12.00	1.75	7.80	49.00	33.33	62.00	113.23	16.00	September
16.33	99.1	7.20	46.00	45.00	63.33	138.33	23.00	Pusa Drum Head
14.00	2.50	6.50	99.19	49.33	85.66	108.33	28.00	POI (Ranichauri)
14.66	1.83	7.96	42.66	37.00	65.00	145.66	27.34	ARU-Glory
99.61	1.83	7.56	36.00	42.00	99.19	145.00	22.00	India Market
17.33	2.33	11.23	34.33	34.00	41.66	116.66	16.33	Pride of India
25.66	2.80	99.6	20.66	29.33	30.33	100.00	19.00	AC-204
13.66	2.06	8.50	42.00	37.23	55.00	139.33	24.33	Pusa Mukta
Seeds/pod	length		pods/branches	inflorescence	flowers/branches		branches/plant	30
No. of	Peduncle	Pod length	Jo .oN	Length of	No. of	Plant height	Total no. of	Genotypes

Table-2. Variability studies in Cabbage.

-	Kange	Coett	Coefficient of variation	variation	Heritability GA	GA	GA as %
X 2000 X		GCV	PCV	ECV			of mean
24.03+-3.13*	16.00-33.33			22.58	25.34	3.28	13.48
23.55+-10.21	100-145.66	09.9	2	14.28	17.61	7.07	5.72
5.93+-6.44	30.33-85.66	18.21	27.02	19.96	45.42	14.14	25.28
37.06+-4.46	23.00-49.33	10.46	24.17	21.70	19.38	3.57	9.63
1.96+-5.19	20.66-61.86	19.51	29.00	21.45	45.26	11.35	27.04
3.35+-0.72	6.50-11.23	12.16	19.36	14.93	39.89	1.32	15.80
.886+26	1.40-2.80	12.18	27.62	24.79	19.46	0.20	10.63
9.82+-2.28	11.00-28.66	25.21	32.13	19.92	61.65	8.07	40.71
Hen 10 00 10 10 1 10 01	+-3.13* 5+-10.21 +-6.44 +-4.46 +-5.19 -0.72 +26		16.00-33.33 100-145.66 30.33-85.66 23.00-49.33 20.66-61.86 6.50-11.23 1.40-2.80	GCV 16.00-33.33 13.16 100-145.66 6.60 30.33-85.66 18.21 23.00-49.33 10.46 20.66-61.86 19.51 6.50-11.23 12.16 11.40-2.80 12.18 11.00-28.66 25.21	GCV. 16.00-33.33 13.16 100-145.66 6.60 30.33-85.66 18.21 23.00-49.33 10.46 20.66-61.86 19.51 6.50-11.23 12.16 11.40-2.80 12.18 11.00-28.66 25.21	GCV PCV ECV 16.00-33.33 13.16 26.13 22.58 1 100-145.66 6.60 15.74 14.28 30.33-85.66 18.21 27.02 19.96 23.00-49.33 10.46 24.17 21.70 20.66-61.86 19.51 29.00 21.45 6.50-11.23 12.16 19.36 14.93 1.40-2.80 12.18 27.62 24.79 11.00-28.66 25.21 32.13 19.92	GCV PCV ECV 16.00-33.33 13.16 26.13 22.58 1 100-145.66 6.60 15.74 14.28 30.33-85.66 18.21 27.02 19.96 23.00-49.33 10.46 24.17 21.70 20.66-61.86 19.51 29.00 21.45 6.50-11.23 12.16 19.36 14.93 1.40-2.80 12.18 27.62 24.79 11.00-28.66 25.21 32.13 19.92

* SEM

Table-3. Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients among various characters of cabbage

		Total number of branches/ Plant	Plant height (cm)	No. of flowers/bran ches	Length of infloresce nce (cm)	No. of pods/bran ch	Pod length (cm)	Peduncle length (cm)	No. of seeds/pod
Total number of branches/ Plant	O	I	0.137	0.729**	0.921**	0.535**	-0.510**	**662.0-	0.502**
	Ь		680.0	0.255	0.121	0.102	-0.160		0.186
Plant height (cm)	Ö	22.11	Total Land	0.305	0.746**	-0.036	-0.458*	-0.514**	-0.154
2016 F-2017 F-3010	Ь		25 J L 128	0.062	0.092	0.056	-0.196	-0.113	-0.088
No. of flowers/branches	Ö	- " M - 18	N. Street, S.	1 1 10 To	0.481*	0.895**	-0.739	-0.455*	-0.332*
1 syldy of dijenshippeline	Ь	and the same	S. Salvada	4010	0.341*	0.664**	-0.288	-0.054	-0.113
Length of inflorescence (cm)	G	The state of			N. 1. 10	0.270	-0.253	0.055	0.253
Francisco Spiritario	Ь	- 12.44 - VA	Street Street		To Take State	0.201	-0.140	0.127	0.119
No. of pods/branch	Ö		TO STATE OF	E of 1/2 1/10	111 41 84	1	-0.070	0.321	-0.346*
The second of th	Ь	The second secon	The state of the s			1	-0.116	-0.123	-0.141
Pod length (cm)	g		Park Str.	Course Service	Marine to the	ob.	Appropriate to	0.353*	0.378*
	Ь		S Transport of	The state of the s			ı	0.247	0.249
Peduncle length (cm)	5							1	0.072
	Ь							1	-0.055
No. of seeds/pod	ŋ								1

* **, Significant at 5% and 1% respectively.