# RAINFALL PATTERN AT MID HILLS OF SIKKIM 

R.K. Singh, A.K. Mishra and K.K. Satapathy<br>Division of Agricultural Engineering<br>ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region<br>Umroi Road, Umiam - 793103


#### Abstract

Daily ranifall data of 18 years (1979-96) and annual maximum daily rainfall data of 19 years (1979-97) recorded at ICAR Research farm were statistically anaiysed. Total rainfall in a week, month and year, and annual maximum daily rainfall at different probability leveis were predicted. Comparison of estimated values of annual maximum daily rainfall by Chi-square test indicated that Longnormal distribution fitted the observed data more closely. Regression model for estimation of annual maximum daily rainfall for each distribution was also computed. Lognormal distribution can be used to forecast annual maximum daily rainfall for different recurrence intervals (RI) for the study area. The knowledge of weekly and annual maximum daily rainfall expected at different probability levels will help in planning and scheduling of agricultural activities, and in hydrological design of hydraulic structures recommended for conveyance, control and conservation of runoff.


## INTRODUCTION

The place of study, Tadong is located 5 km south of Gangtok in Sikkim state at 1350 $m$ above meen sea level. It falls under high rainfall zone of Eastern Himalaya region. Climate of the place is representative of subtropical mid hills. Average annual rainfall is more than 3000 mm . Characteristics of rainfall is erratic and intense. Agricultural in the area is practised in rainfed condition on subsistence basis. Safe disposal of runoff is of great concern in kharif season whereas rabi crops suffer from moisture stress. Thus production and productivity of both kharif and rabi crops are adversely affected. In this situation, judicious utilization of available soil moisture assumes great importance to enhance productivity of rabi crops. Therefore, knowledge of rainfall expected at different probabilities will be helpful to farmers in planning their various agricultural activities. Slopy land topography coupled with high rainfall poses serious threat to production base. So annual maximum daily rainfall assumes an important role in designi of various soil conservation structures. Its estimation for desired recurrence interval is essential for safe and economic hydrological design of bund, terrace, waterways, small bridge, culvert, check dam and spillway etc.

Rainfall can be stochastically predicted by frequency analysis. Many workers (Sharda and Bushan, 1985; agrawal et.al., 1988; and Bhatt et.al., 1996) attempted frequency analysis of one day maximum rainfall for different places. Gupta et.al. (1975), Sharma et.al. (1979) and Satapathy (1991) carried out the probability analysis of rainfall for different places. In this paper an attempt to forecast annual maximum daily rainfall, weekiy, monthiy and annual rainfall of Tadong for different recurrence interval is made using Lognormal, Log Pearson type III and Gumbel probability distribution functions.

## MATERIALS AND MEHODS

Daily rainfall data of Tadong for 18 years (1979-96) and annual maximum rainfall data for 19 years (1979-1997) were collected from Meteorological Observatory, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Sikkim Centre Tadong.
A) Daily rainfall data : Weelky rainfall was calculated by adding the daily rainfall data of a week. Week days were considered as suggested by Gupta et al. (1975 from $1^{\text {st }}$ to $7^{\text {tt }}, 8^{\text {st }}$ to $15^{\text {th }}, 16^{\text {th }}$ to $23^{\text {th }}$ and $24^{\text {th }}$ to $30^{\text {th }}$ or $311^{\text {tt }}$ (as the case may be) for all months except February for which $1^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}, 15^{\text {th }}$ to $21^{\text {st }}$ and $22^{\text {nd }}$ to $28^{\text {th }}$ or $29^{\text {th }}$. Log Pearson Type III distribution was selected for frequency analysis carried out through the use of frequency factor. Weekly, monthly and annual rainfalls were utilized to predict the corresponding rainfalls at different levels of probabiilty. Trend analysis was done by moving average method by taking the mean of consecutive three years. Estimation of normal, and brough conditions were made as per the definitions suggested by Sharma et al. (1979). According to these definitions any month receiving less than $50 \%$ and more than $200 \%$ of average rainfall are termed as drought and abnormal months respectively. Months receiving rainfall in-between above limits is called normal months. Similarly, any year receiving raifall less than X-SD, more than $X+S D$ and in-between these limits are called drought, abnormal and normal year, respectively.
B) Annual maximum daily rainfall : Frequency analysis of the data was carred out using general equation of bydrologic frequency analysis as proposed by Chow (1964)
$X=\bar{X}+s K$
Where,
$X=$ variate, $\bar{X}=$ mean of variate, $s=$ standard deviation and $K=$ Frequency factor.
Frequency factor, K is a function of the recurrence interval and the type of probability distribition to be used in the analysis. Three probability distribution functions- Lognormal, Log Pearson Type III and Gumbel were used. The K values for first two distributions for a given recurrence interval were determined from tables available whereas, K values or Gumbel distribition were computed from the following formula.
$K=-6 /(3.14)^{0.5}(v+\ln \ln (T /(T-1))$
Where
$\mathrm{V}=$ Euler's constant (0.5772)
$T=$ Recurrence interval in years

Statistical parameters required in a particular distribution were computed from the hydrologic data series. Recurrence interval of observed values was determined by Weibull's formula as $m /(N+1)$ were $m$ is order of record arranged in descending order and $N$ is the total number of records. Chi-Square ( $X^{2}$ ) test was applied to test the goodness of fit to the observed values for all three probability distribution functions.

Chi-Square $\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Sum}(O-E)^{2} j^{2} E$
Where $O$ is observed and $E$ is estimated value.
Based on general equation for hydrologic frequency analysis, regression models for each distribution were computed using least square method to predict annual maximum daily rainfall for desired recurrence interval.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Average anual rainfall of the area was found to be 3089.26 mm with standard deviation of 330.25 mm . No definite trend was found as its observed values oscillate around annual mean value. About $93 \%$ of total rainfall occurs during the period from April to October. Winter season (October to March) rainfall is only $12.3 \%$ only but it is very much beneficial to rabi crops. Every week received rainfall but average weekly total rainfall ranges from lowest of 3.6 mm in $4^{\text {th }}$ week of January to a highest of 139.12 mm in $2^{\text {nd }}$ week of June. One time highest weekly rainfail of 475.1 mm was also observed in the same week. Dry weather prevails from $3^{\text {rd }}$ week of October to mid March. During this period weekly rainfall was less than 20 mm . Though every week receives some amount of raifall even then moisture stress in rabi crops is inevitable because rainfall in particular week may occur on $1^{\text {st }}$ day of the week and on last day in subsequent week. Thus a gap of 13 days may occur in-between two storms. Almost $55 \%$ day in a year was observed as rainy days. More than four days in a week was observed as rainy days during the period from $2^{\text {nd }}$ week of April to end September including the period rom mid June to mid Spetember in which on an average more than six days per week were observed as rainy days. Period ranging from $3^{\text {rd }}$ week of October to $1^{\text {st }}$ week of February experienced rainy days ranging from 0.63 to 1.29 in a week. About $96.3 \%$ of total months from March to October were normal and remaining $3.7 \%$ were drought months. 100 and $90.7 \%$ of total abnormal and drought months, respectively fall between October to March. July and August months of every year were found to be normal. A $66.6 \%$ of total years were normal and $16.7 \%$ each of total years were abnormal and drought years. Weekly, monthly and yearly rainfall at different levels of probability are shown in Table 1. Farmers may take $50 \%$ risk in showing rabi crops in anticipation of rainfall amount at $50 \%$ probability. They may schedule their agricultural activities like land preparation, selection of drought resistant crop variety, weeding, spraying of plant protection chemicals etc. Soil conservation measures such as bund, terrace and waterways may be designed taking into consideration rainfall expected at 75-90\% probability levels.

The expected annual maximum daily rainfall by Lognormal, Log Pearson Type III and Gumbel distribution for different recurrence interval, observed valued with their recurrence interval and respective Chi-Square value have been presented in Table 2. The best
distribution was selected that gave the smallest Chi-Square value (Agrawal et. al, 1988). The best probability function to represent the observed data amont the three distribition studied was found to be Lognormal as it gave least Chi-Square value. This fact is also confirmed by the frequency distribution curve (Fig 1) in which the observed data points lie more closely around Lognormal distribution. Hence, Lognormal distribution can be suggested for prediction of annual maximum daily rainfall of the study place.

A linear relationship between annual maximum daily rainfall, $X$ in mm and frequency factor, $K$ for each distribution was computed as

| 1. Lognormal distribution | $X=138.47+45.66 \mathrm{~K}$ | $(r=0.998)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Log Pearson Type III | $X=142.78+48.15 \mathrm{~K}$ | $(r=0.986)$ |
| 3. Gumbel | $X=138.95+49.98 \mathrm{~K}$ | $(r=0.991)$ |

As coefficient of correlation (r) is maximum for regression model for Lognormal distribution, it is further confirmed that Lognormal probability distribution function is most suitable fo prediction of annual maximum daily rainfall for the study place. Jeevrathnam and Jakumar, (1979); Agrwal et. al (1988) and Bhatt et. al (1996 found the Log Pearson Type II and Senapati et. al (1979) found the Lognormal distrubution to be the best for prediction of annual maximum daily rainfall for their locations of studies. The most suitable probability distribution function to repesent the observed data may depend on rainfall pattern of the place. As rainfall pattern varies from place to place, the most suitable distribution may also vary from place to place. Annual maximum daily rainfall can be predicted directly from regression model of Lognormal distribution by putting the value of K for particular recurrence interval.

Table 1. Weekly, mothly and annual predicted rainfall (mm) at different probability levels at Tadong.

| Month | Period | Predicted rainfall at probability levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 80\% | 60\% | 20\% | 10\% |
| January | Week $1^{\text {st }}$ | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 8.8 |
|  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 0.7 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 10.8 |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 0.7 | 1.9 | 7.5 | 18.8 |
|  | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 0.8 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 11.0 |
|  | Monthly | 6.5 | 15.7 | 34.9 | 51.3 |
| February | Week ${ }^{\text {st }}$ | 1.9 | 3.6 | 9.1 | 17.0 |
|  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 1.5 | 4.5 | 15.9 | 33.6 |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 1.9 | 7.1 | 25.0 | 46.7 |
|  | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 3.6 | 7.4 | 20.3 | 38.8 |
|  | Monthly | 20.6 | 65.8 | 99.0 | 104.8 |



| Month | Period | Predicted rainfall at probability levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $80 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| October |  | 8.4 | 23.2 | 63.2 | 106.1 |
|  |  | 3.4 | 19.7 | 70.2 | 115.0 |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 1.5 | 6.8 | 38.7 | 105.6 |
|  | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 1.5 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 20.4 |
|  | Monthly | 52.5 | 107.8 | 215.5 | 306.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November | Week 1st $_{\text {st }}$ | 0.7 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 17.7 |
|  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 1.3 | 2.4 | 8.5 | 20.7 |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 6.3 |
|  | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 0.5 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 10.4 |
|  | Monthly | 2.1 | 11.7 | 49.0 | 93.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December | Week $1^{\text {st }}$ | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 0.5 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 7.1 |  |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 0.8 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 10.5 |
|  | $4^{\text {th }}$ | 0.8 | 4.0 | 15.4 | 29.0 |
|  | Monthly | 4.1 | 18.1 | 38.3 | 46.1 |
|  | Annual | 2784.4 | 3077.1 | 3367.1 | 3515.8 |

Table 2. Probability distribution and Chi-Square test

| SI No. | Recourrence Interval (Year) | Observed <br> Value <br> (O) <br> (mm) | Estimated Value (E) (mm) |  |  |  | Chi-Square Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lognormal | L.P.Type III | Gumbel | Logrormal | L.P.Typell | Gumbel |
| 1 | 1.05 | 16 | 76.1 | 63.9 | 73.7 | 0.00013 | 2291 | 0.0721 |
| 2 | 125 | 97.3 | 100.1 | 1022 | 97.9 | 0.078 | 0235 | 0.0036 |
| 3 | 2 | 134.4 | 132 | 142.2 | 130.7 | 0.044 | 0.428 | 0.105 |
| 4 | 5 | 176 | 173.1 | 183.2 | 174.9 | 0.048 | 0283 | 0.0069 |
| 5 | 20 | 220.2 | 22.5 | 223.7 | 2322 | 0.124 | 0.055 | 0.62 |
| 6 | 100 |  | 274.5 | 255.7 | 205.7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 0.194 | 3292 | 0.808 |
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Fig. 1 Frequency distribution curves

