Indian J. Hill Farmg. 14 (1) : 33 - 38 2001

# INFLUENCE OF PHOSPHORUS AND SULPHUR ON RESIDUAL NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY AND DRY MATTER YIELD OF SOYBEAN IN ACID ALFISOL OF MEGHALAYA

## B. Majumdar and M. S. Venkatesh

Division of Soil Science ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya - 793103

#### ABSTRACT

In a field experiment on acid Alfisol, phosphorus and sulphur individually and in combination improved dry matter yield of soybean in kharif (rainy) seasons of 1998 and 1999. The soil pH, organic carbon, exchangeable Na, K, Ca and Mg, total and available P, K, S contents of soil increased significantly with increasing levels of phosphorus and sulphur application. A positive significant interaction was found between P and S for all these soil properties and  $P_{60}S_{40}$  treatment combination was found best suited for dry matter yield of soybean and residual nutrient build up in acid soil.

# INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max*), being a good source of proteins, fats, vitamins and minerals is a valuable legume crop. Legumes and oil seed crops have been reported to respond to phosphorus and sulphur application (Sacchidanand *et al.* 1980). Application of these nutrients together affects the availability of each other and thereby growth and yield of crops (Sindhe *et al.* 1979). Soils of Meghalaya are deficient in available P and S (Prasad 1981). The information regarding P and S nutrition of soybean and their effect on residual nutrient availability in acid soil of Meghalaya is scanty. The present study was therefor undertaken to find out the effect of P and S application on yield of soybean and residual nutrient availability in an acid Hapludalf.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted in an Ultic Hapludalf soil at the research farm of Soil Science division, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, The surface soil (0-15 cm) had 1.62% organic C, pH 4.26, 116 ppm available N, 93.3 ppm available K, 5.98 ppm available P and 5.58 ppm available S. The treatment consisting of four levels of  $P_2O_5(0,20,40)$  and 60 kg/ha) through DAP and three levels of S (0,20 and 40 kg/ha) through elemental suphur was tried in factorial randomized block design with three replications. Elemental S was applied 15 days before sowing. A basal dose of 25-kg/ha of N as urea and 30-kg/ha of K<sub>2</sub>O as muriate of potash was also applied. Lime@ 2t/ha was applied to all plots 15 days prior to sowing during 1st year. Soybean cv. Ankur was the test crop. Soil samples were collected after harvest for both the seasons. Collected soil samples were dried, processed and analysed

for available P (Bray and Kurtuz 1945), S (Chesnin and Yien 1951) and K by flame photometer. For total nutrients, soil samples were digested with di-acid mixutre ( $HNO_3$ :  $HCIO_4$ ) in 5 : 2 ratio and P and S were analysed colorimetrically and K by flame photometer. Soil samples were extracted with 1N ammonium acetate solution for exchangeable Na, K, Ca and Mg.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Dry matter yield :** There was a significant increase in total dry matter yield (grain + straw) of soybean at harvest over control ( $P_0S_0$ ) by the application of phosphorus and sulphur (Table 1). The dry matter yield increased by 72 and 15% over control respectively with 60 kg  $P_2O_2$  and 40-kg/ha of S application. The interaction between P and S was significant, thereby indicating a more beneficial effect of the two in combination. Sacchidanand *et al.* (1980) and Bapat *et al.* (1986) also reported similar results. The dry matter yield was maximum at 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  and 40-kg/ha of S application.

**Soil** pH and organic carbon : A significant increase in both soil pH and organic carbon content was observed by the application of phosphorus and sulphur (Table 2). Increase in organic carbon content may be because of increased biomass production as a result of biological nitrogen fixation by soybean which might have enhanced by P and S application as both of these nutrients are involved in root growth and nodulation (Nayak and Dwivedi 1990). Increase in soil pH is understandable from the fact that there was an increase in exchangeable basic cations in the soil because of P and S application. The interaction between P and S was significant for pH and organic carbon content of soil.

**Exchangeable Cations :** Exchangeable Na, K, Ca, and Mg content of soil increased with higher doses of P and S significantly over control (Table 2). The exchangeable cation contents of soil increased at each P level with increasing levels of S, indicating synergistic relationship between P and S. This increase in exchangeable cation contents may be attributed to higher biomass addition in the soil as a result of P and S application. Maximum exchangeable Na, K, Ca and Mg contents were recorded with  $P_{60}S_{40}$  treatment combination.

Nutrient status : The residual available phosphorus and sulphur content increased significantly with their respective application in the soil (Table 3). This result was in agreement with the findings of Dwivedi (1985) and Choudhury *et al.* (1987). The available P content increased with S application and vice versa suggesting synergism between P and S. The increase in soil sulphur due to phosphorus application may be because of release of sulphate ions from exchange complex of soil. Moreover, as both of these nutrients are absorbed by plants as anions, if one is available in higher amounts, the available pool for other will also increase. Similar positive interaction between P and S was also reported by Joshi and Seth (1975) and Nayak and Dwivedi (1990).

Available K content also increased with individual and combined application of P and S. Total P, S, and K contents also showed the similar trend as that of available nutrients. Maximum available and total P, S and K contents were recorded with  $P_{60}S_{40}$  treatment combination.

### REFERENCES

Bapat, P.N., Sinha, S.B. and Shinde, D.A. (1986). Effect of sulphur and phosphorus on yield and nutrient content of black gram. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 34 : 82-86.

- Bray, N.C. and Kurtz, L.T. (1945). Determination of total, organic and available forms of P in soil. Soil Sci. 59 : 39-45.
- Chesnin, R. and Yien, C.N. (1951). Turbidimetric determination of available sulphur in Soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 15: 149-151.
- Choudhary, R. Turkar, O.R. and Dixit, P.R. (1987). Effect of P and S application on their availability and nutrient uptake by paddy. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. 2 : 15-22.
- Dwivedi, A. K. (1985). Sulphur-phosphorus interaction studies on soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). Ph.D. Thesis, J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur.
- Joshi, D.C. and Seth, S.P. (1975). Effect of sulphur and phosphorus application on soil characteristics, nutrient uptake and yield of wheat crop. *J Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 23 : 217-221.
- Kumar, V. and Singh, M. (1980). Sulphur, phosphorus and molybdenum interactions in relation to growth, uptake and utilization of sulphur in soybean. *Soil Sci.* 129: 297-304.
- Nayak, G.S. and Dwivedi, A.K. (1990). Interaction of sulphur and phosphorus fertilizers on yield and nutrient composition of faba bean grown on black soils of Central India. *Fabis Newsletter.* 27 : 13-15.
- Prasad, R.N. (1981). Soil science research in NEH region. Proceedings of workshop on agricultural research in NEH region. 89-107 pp.
- Sacchidanand. B., Sawarkar, N.J., Ghurayya, R.S., Shinde, D.A. and Sinha, S.B. (1980). Response of soybean (Glycine max) to sulphur and phosphorus. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 28 : 189-192.
- Shinde, D.A., Soni, B.K., Jha, M.N. and Sinha, S.B. (1979). Response of soybean (Glycine max) to phosphorus and sulphur in black soil. *Bull. Indian Soc. Soil. Sci.* 12: 498-504.

Is	Sulphur levels (kg/ha)			
0	20	40	Mean	
3.00	3.46	4.22	3.56	
4.44	4.66	4.91	4,67	
5.20	5.49	5.65	5.44	
5.85	6.12	6.39	6.12	
4.62	4.93	5.29		
P = 0.16	S = 0.10	P × S = 0.18		
	0 3.00 4.44 5.20 5.85 4.62	0 20   3.00 3.46   4.44 4.66   5.20 5.49   5.85 6.12   4.62 4.93	0 20 40   3.00 3.46 4.22   4.44 4.66 4.91   5.20 5.49 5.65   5.85 6.12 6.39   4.62 4.93 5.29	0 20 40 Mean   3.00 3.46 4.22 3.56   4.44 4.66 4.91 4.67   5.20 5.49 5.65 5.44   5.85 6.12 6.39 6.12   4.62 4.93 5.29 5.29

Table 1. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application on dry matter yield (t/ha) of soybean (two years-mean data)

Phosphorus levels	Sulphur levels (kg/ha)				
(kg/ha		20	40	Mean	
	A Galler	Soil pH	Sec. 11 Sec. 1 M	1.1	
)	4.55	4.65	4.84	4.68	
20	4.68	4.82	4.82	4.77	
10	4.75	4.85	4.92	4.84	
60	4.81	4.94	5.13	4.96	
Mean	4.69	4.81	4.92	2 01	
CD (0.05)	P = 0.04	S = 0.03	P×	S = 0.08	
	tional of C	Organic carbon (%)	to a myada and		
)	2.03	2.10	2.15	2.09	
20	2.08	2.12	2.18	2.12	
40	2.11	2.18	2.23	2.17	
30	2.18	2.33	2.41	: 2.30	
Mean	2.10	2.18	2.24		
CD (0.05)	P = 0.01	S = 0.01	P×	S = 0.02	
	Exch	angeable K (me/1	00g)		
) i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.194	0.213	0.243	0.216	
20	0.198	0.217	0.244	0.219	
10	0.202	0.223	0.251	0.225	
60	0.210	0.243	0.263	0.238	
lean	0.201	0.224	0.250		
CD (0.05)	P = 0.001	S = 0.001	P × S = 0.002		
	Excha	angeable Na (me/1	00g)		
)	0.263	0.271	0.282	0.272	
20	0.274	0.281	0.290	0.281	
10	0.278	0.289	0.304	0.290	
50	0.286	0.314	0.322	0.307	
Mean	0.275	0.288	0.299		
CD (0.05)	P = 0.001	S = 0.001	P×S	P × S = 0.002	
		angeable Ca (me/1			
0	6.05	6.15	7.00	6.40	
20	6.20	6.85	7.20	6.75	
10	6.50	7.00	7.53	7.01	

Table 2. Effect of Phosphorus and sulphur application on chemical properties of soil (two years mean data)

E.

1

10

60	7.00	7.20	7.85	7.35
Mean	6.43	6.80	7.39	
CD (0.05)	P = 0.02	S = 0.01	P×	S = 0.03
	Excha	angeable Mg (me/1	00g)	
0	1.30	1.55	1.70	1.51
20	1.34	1.60	1.80	1.58
40	1.40	1.65	1.90	1.65
60	1.65	1.80	2.06	1.83
Mean	1.42	1.65	1.86	
CD (0.05)	P = 0.01	S = 0.01	P×S	S = 0.02

Table 3. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application on residual nutrient status of soil (two years mean data)

Phosphorus levels	Sulphur levels (kg/ha)			
(kg/ha)	0	20	40	Mean
501.0	A DEC	Available P (kg/ha)	0.176	
0	3.58	3.95	5.18	4.23
20	7.50	8.45	9.20	8.38
40	10.80	12.50	13.20	12.16
60	14.00	15.60	17.12	15.57
Mean	8.97	10.12	11.17	
CD (0.05)	P = 0.22	S = 0.19	P × S = 0.37	
	A	vailable S (kg/ha)		
0	3.30	4.50	8.50	5.43
20	4.20	8.00	11.20	7.80
40	5.80	10.50	14.50	10.26
60	6.50	14.00	17.50	12.66
Mean	4.95	9.25	12.92	
CD (0.05)	P = 0.16	S = 0.13	P × S = 0.36	
	A	vailable K (kg/ha)		
0	169.9	186.6	212.8	189.8
20	173.6	190.2	214.0	192.6
40	177.3	195.3	220.0	197.5
60	184.2	212.8	230.0	209.0
Mean	176.2	196.2	219.2	
CD (0.05)	P = 0.50	S = 0.42	P × S = 0.85	

		Total P (ppm)				
0	400	435	485	440		
20	500	560	620	560		
40	639	675	720	678		
60	750	782	795	775.6		
Mean	572.2	613.0	655.0			
CD (0.05)	P = 7.07	S = 6.12	P×S	P × S = 12.24		
		Total S (ppm)				
0	145.0	160.0	181.0	162.0		
20	165.0	180.0	210.0	185.0		
40	195.0	230.0	256.0	227.0		
60	230.0	265.0	275.0	256.6		
Mean	183.7	208.7	230.5			
CD (0.05)	P = 4.64	S = 4.02	P×S	5 = 8.04		
		Total K (%)				
0	0.175	0.195	0.222	0.197		
20	0.215	0.265	0.280	0.253		
40	0.245	0.285	0.330	0.286		
60	0.260	0.325	0.380	0.321		
Mean	0.224	0.267	0.303			
CD (0.05)	P = 0.004	S = 0.003	P×S	= 0.007		
ية الله ا			and the second second	and the Contra		
			4.03			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

6

\$

¥