# INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES IN TOMATO (LYCOPERSICON ESCULENTUM MILL)

N. Brajendra Singh College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University Imphal - 795 001.

÷.

# ABSTRACT

In tomato, yield/plant was associated significantly with number of fruits/plant, fruits number/raceme, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight and number of laterals. Significant positive correlations were observed between plant height with number of laterals and fruit diameter; number of laterals with days to 50% flowering; days to maturity with fruit weight; fruit number/raceme with fruit number/ per plant and fruit diameter with locule number/fruit and fruit weight. Significant negative correlations were observed between plant height and fruit per raceme.; days to 50% flowering and fruit number per raceme; and furit number per raceme and fruit diameter.

## INTRODUCTION

Yield in tomato, as in any other crop plants, is a complex quantitative character, influenced by environmental fluctuations. Direct selection for yield as such, therefore, will not be effective. Hence selection criteria based on yield components would be helpful in selecting suitable plant types. Correlation studies between yield and its components provide a measure of association between different variables. Knowledge of association of the simply inherited characters which are less affected by environment, is required to construct suitable selection indices for the improvement of complex characters like yield. A study was, therefore, taken up in tomato to know the nature of association between yield and yield contributing characters both at genotypic and phenotypic levels.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was laid out during the *rabi* season of 1993-94 at Imphal, Manipur. The materials comprised 19 genotypes of tomato and was grown in randomized block design with 3 replications. Each plot consisted of 3 rows 70 cm. apart, having pllant spacing of 60 cm. The plot was fertilized with N. P and K at 50, 50 and 50 kg/ha. respectively. On 5 random plants, data were recorded on plant height (cm), number of laterals, number of fruits/plant (kg). On 5 randomly selected fruits of each of the selected plants, data were recorded on fruit length (cm), locule number fruit and fruit weight (g). Genotypic and phenotypic correlations were calculated following standard procedure.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Vatiations were observed in the means of the genotypes studied for all the characters (Table1). AAU-5 exhibited highest yield/plant (4.284 kg), followed by Arka Saurab (4.075 kg) and Punjab Chhuarah (4.070 kg).

The yield / plant had positive and highly significant correlation with number of fruits/ plant, fruit weight, number of lateral, fruit diameter, fruit length and number of fruits/raceme (Table 2). Most of these characters also had positive association among themselves, indicating that selection for these characters may imporve the fruit yield simultaneously. This confirms the findings of Cuartero and Cubero (1982), Dudi and Kalloo (1982) and Raijadhav et al. (1986).

Among the correlations between yield components, highly significant and positive genotypic correlations were observed between days to 50% flowering with days to maturity, fruit diameter, fruit weight and number of laterals; days to maturity with fruit weight and number of laterals; number of laterals with number of furits/plant, fruit diameter and fruit weight; number of fruits/raceme with number of fruits /plant; fruit length with fruit diameter and fruit weight; and locule number/fruit with fruit weight. The positive intercorrelations observed from the investigation indicated that such characters are indirect selection indices for tomato improvement. For almost all the character pairs, the genotypic correlations were of higher magnitude than the corresponding phenotypic correlations; which indicated that there is strong inherent association between the characters.

Γ.

÷

However, the negative association that existed between different pairs of yield attributing characters has suggested that selection for these characters has to be exercised consurrently rather than inisolation. Number of fruits per poant exhibited significant and negative association with fruit diameter and fruit weight; this appears to be enforced by the genetic limitation on the capacity of fruit production. This has emphasized that a balanced number of fruits with medium fruit size is an ideal approach in yield imporvement of tomato.

Thus, it may be concluded that simultaneous sellection for fruits with medium size and weight with medium ealliness and plant height may be given due consideration in selection programmes in tomato.

## REFERENCES

Cuartero, J. and Cubero, J. I. (1982). Phenotypic and environmental correlation in tomato. Euphytica. 31: 151-59.

Dudi, B. S. and Kalloo (1982). Correlation and path analysis studies in tomato. *Haryana J. Hort. Science*. 11:122-26.

Raijadhav, S. B., Kale, P. N. and Deshmukh, Z. V. (1986). Correlation and regression studies in tomato. J. Maharastra Agric. Univ. 11: 39-40.

	Table 1. Mea	ו performanc	e of the tomato	o genotypes fo	r different cha	racters	
Genotype	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Number of laterals	Plant height (cm)	No. of fruits per raceme	No. of fruits per plant	
AAU-2	31.37	78.29	14.47	94.12	5.00	60.23	
AAU-3	37.57	81.46	15.60	129.48	4.93	46.77	
AAU-4	41.37	85.49	14.50	113.55	4.33	44.65	
AAU-5	38.17	80.32	17.33	87.07	7.50	90.22	
AAU-6	40.43	83.61	17.03	96.25	6.50	55.00	
EP-84	47.33	89.45	18.83	176.82	4.67	57.11	
VC-48-1	45.73	87.49	19.50	187.02	4.17	53.45	
Marglobe	43.07	86.32	17.13	96.07	4.27	44.56	
Pusa Ruby	38.07	79.09	17.87	118.83	4.67	47.89	
Punjab Chhuarah	42.80	81.92	17.77	88.73	6.47	77.53	
Roma	40.27	83.69	15.07	84.03	5.73	54.87	
Pant-T <sub>2</sub>	47.40	89.32	16.30	87.47	5.63	46.20	
Pant-T <sub>3</sub>	49.47	87.61	17.37	96.13	5.43	41.95	
Pusa Sei-2	52.50	90.31	18.40	113.27	5.70	54.28	
ATH-1	50.03	95.64	16.93	98.67	5.47	45.88	
Arka Saurab	53.92	96.57	15.93	127.40	4.33	47.20	
Pusa Early	28.47	68.49	11.63	76.57	5.07	37.84	
KS,-6	44.43	90.53	13.50	110.47	3.83	37.67	
ACC-238	50.70	84.61	16.80	108.32	4.03	42.07	
SE	1.60	1.08	0.63	4.84	0.62	1.93	
CD (5%)	3.14	2.12	1.23	9.49	1.22	3.78	

۶.

Table 1 (contd.)						
Genotype	Fruit length	Fruit diameter	Locule No.	Fruit weight	Yield per	
	(cm)	(cm)	per truit	(8)	plant (kg)	- 1
AAU-2	2.93	3,44	3.73	38.92	2.343	
AAU-3	3.95	4.94	4.07	44.68	2.088	
AAU-4	3.13	3.89	4.00	48.90	2.185	
AAU-5	3.07	3.39	4.00	47.50	4.284	
AAU-6	3.15	3.70	3.53	49.83	2.741	
EP-84	5.47	7.38	4.20	62.08	3.546	
VC-48-1	5.10	6.82	4.67	66.90	3.578	
Marglobe	3.54	5.49	4.27	55.13	2.457	
Pusa Ruby	3.44	5.57	4.00	57.87	2.773	
Punjab Chhuarah	7.24	4.57	2.20	52.47	4.070	
Roma	5.50	3.07	2.00	34.98	1.922	
Pant-T <sub>2</sub>	3.92	4.57	2.27	60.51	2.794	
Pant-T <sub>3</sub>	4.28	5.52	3.27	68.86	2.883	
· Pusa Sei-2	4.60	5.75	3.13	74.46	4.043	
ATH-1	4.12	5.39	5.87	76.57	3.515	
Arka Saurab	4.70	5.52	3.27	86.33	4.075	
Pusa Early	3.10	4.23	3.67	50.03	1.892	
KS <sub>0</sub> <del>.</del> 6	3.20	3.97	3.83	41.55	1.554	
ACC-2-38	3.27	4.53	3.87	46.67	1.991	
SE .	0.20	0.27	1.16	0.2944		
CD (5%)	0.39	0.53	0.53	2.27	0.580	

£

f characters
nt pairs o
or differer
icients fo
ion coeff
) correlat
otypic (rp
nd phenc
oic (rg) a
Genotyp
Table 2.

Characters C	orrelation oefficient	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Number of laterals	Ptant height raceme	Number of fruits/ plant	Number of fruits/	Fruit length	Locule No. diameter	Fruit per fruit	weight
Yield per	ę	0.4800	0.3751	0.7019**	0.2639	0.5335**	0.7181**	0.5635**	0.5678**	0.0295	0.7680**
plant	æ	0.4177	0.3455	0.6183**	0.2367	0.3782	0.4728*	0.3458	0.3946	0.0263	0.4901*
Days to 50%	5	•	0.9428**	0.5840**	0.3280	-0.7045**	-0.1696	0.3705	0.5352**	0.1001	0.7060**
flowering	e		0.8471**	0.5004*	0.3210	-0.4467	-0.1543	0.3478	0.4769*	0.1082	0.6808**
Days to	ß	ı	•	0.4659*	0.3584	-0.1516	-0.1755	0.2748	0.4487	0.2751	0.6432**
rthaturity	e			0.4047	0.3400	-0.3307	-0.1740	0.2751	0.3957	0.2203	0.6264**
Number of	ő	•	t	·	0.5660**	0.3340	0.5126*	0.4061	0.3014**	0.4544*	0.5086*
<b>leterais</b>	e	•	•		0.4969*	0.3480	0.3247	0.3663	0.3225	0.2337	0.4639*
Plant	Ē		\$		•	-0.5383**	-0.0757	0.2876	0.7830**	0.3286	0.3444
height	ę.				•	-0.3725	-0.0785	0.2762	0.7338**	0.3045	0.3412
No. of fruits	ß	ı		•		•	0.8314**	0.1911	-0.6098**	-0.3054	-0.1037
per raceme	ę.			•	•		0.6222**	0.1426	-0.7997**	-0.2512	-0.0618
No. of truits	ß				•			0.3400	-0.2043	-0.2689	-0.1498
per plant	Ð		,		•		•	0.3180	-0.1904	-0.2490	-0.1451
Fruit	ß			•	•	•	•	•	0.3959	-0.3123	0.2895
length	e				۲	·	•		0.3844	-0.3486	0.2850
Fruit	61		•	•	•	•	•	•		0.5044*	0.7140**
diameter	<del>e</del> .				,				•	0.1434	0.6762**
Locule numbe	r rg	,			•	•	•		•		0.2967
per furit	e.		•				•	1 `		,	0.2872
** Signifant 6	at 1% leve	l, * Signific	ant at 5% le	vel			-				

5.2

3

÷

•